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**ABSTRACT:** Purpose: The main objective of this study is to assess the anti-oxidant and anti-glycation potential of essential oil from leaves and stems of *E. monogynum*. Methods: The essential oil from leaves and stems was obtained by hydro distillation and the chemical composition was determined by GC-FID and GC-MS. Further, the total phenolic and flavonoid contents were also estimated in all the extracts. Both the essential oils and solvent extracts were screened for anti-oxidant activity using DPPH scavenging, H2O2 scavenging, NO scavenging and Xanthine oxidase inhibitory assays. Subsequently, all the samples were investigated for anti-glycation potential. Results: The chemical composition of essential oils was determined by GC and GC-MS. The major components in leaf oil were limonene, pregeijerene, geijerene, isobornyl acetate, germacrene D and farnesol while the major components identified in the stem oil include limonene, pregeijerene, Methyl Eugenol, germacrene D and Farnesol. The total phenolic and flavonoid contents were found to be 36.23 and 34.47 mg of gallic acid/gm & 16.71 and 14.17 mg of quercetin/gm respectively for leaf and stem essential oil extracts. The leaf essential oil exhibited potent anti-oxidant activity of 90.33% and 90% for DPPH and H2O2 scavenging assays respectively. Among all the extracts tested, leaf oil showed excellent NO scavenging activity with IC50 of 58.64 µg/ml. Further higher inhibitory effect of more than 90% inhibition of advanced glycation end products (AGE) formation was observed at 100 µg/ml. Conclusion: The results obtained are remarkable proving that this plant contains a valuable source of phytocomponents acting as anti-oxidants and anti-glycation agents which upon further purification and standardization can be implicated in the treatment of stress disorders, gout and diabetes. © 2020 iGlobal Research and Publishing Foundation. All rights reserved.
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**INTRODUCTION**

In human beings, a large number of free radicals or reactive oxygen species (ROS) are produced in the body due to exogenous chemicals or various metabolic activities causing oxidative stress damage. Oxidative stress plays a chief role in the pathogenesis of aging and degenerative diseases such as atherosclerosis, diabetes, cardiovascular diseases and cancer [1-2]. The protection from ROS can be achieved to some extent with the help of enzyme systems such as superoxide dismutase, catalase and Glutathione peroxidase. Further antioxidant compounds such as ascorbic acid, tocopherol, phenolic acids, polyphenols and flavonoids offer a significant level of protection. Free radicals are degraded to non-reactive forms by enzymatic and non-enzymatic antioxidant defenses produced in the body and others supplied by the diet.

Medicinal plants and products are being used in the treatment of various cellular and metabolic diseases such as diabetes, obesity and cancer etc. Several studies have shown that plant derived antioxidant nutriceuticals scavenge free radicals and modulate oxidative stress-related degenerative effects [3-4]. Free radicals have been implicated in many diseases such as cancer, atherosclerosis, diabetes, neurodegenerative disorders and aging [3-4]. Previous research reports suggest that higher intake of antioxidant rich food is associated with decreased
risk of degenerative diseases particularly cardiovascular diseases and cancer [5]. Among these, essential oils are a mixture of complex natural compounds of medicinal and aromatic plants comprising mostly of volatile constituents with characteristic aroma and are employed in drug, food and perfumery industries [6]. These have received much attention and this attracted many scientists to use essential oils anti-oxidant and anti-glycation activities thus leading to the development of novel lead products for the treatment of oxidative stress and diabetes. Essential oils have been studied for their potential antioxidant capacities which can be attributed to the presence of phenolics, flavonoids and terpenes that potentially contribute to the free radical scavenging activity [7-9]. Many herbal and plant infusions frequently used in domestic medicine have anti-oxidative and pharmacological properties connected with the presence of phenolic compounds.

*Erythroxylum monogynum* (*E. monogynum*) commonly known as Red Cedar is a tropical tree belonging to the family Erythroxylaceae. Native to India and Sri Lanka, the plant is known to have a high medicinal value such as in curing many diseases such as stomachic, dyspepsia, fever, and dropsy in Ayurveda medicine [10]. As a part of the screening program of natural products from south India, *E. monogynum* plant was chosen to explore the potential antioxidant and anti-glycation activities of essential oil extracts along with correlation with that of total phenolic and flavonoid contents. Therefore, we here in present the results of our investigative study.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Plant Material**

**Chemicals and Standard Compounds**
The chemicals and solvents required for the study were of analytical grade and procured from Merck, India. The standard compounds such as allopurinol, ascorbic acid and gallic acid were procured from Sigma-Aldrich, Bangalore, India.

**Sample collection**

Leaves of *E. monogynum* were collected from the forest of Nallamala Forest, near Tirupathi, Andhra Pradesh, India where a sample specimen was deposited at the herbarium of Department of Botany, Osmania University, Hyderabad (No. OUBOT 9784).

**Essential oil extraction**
The air-dried leaves and stems of *E. monogynum* were subjected to hydro distillation in a Clevenger apparatus for 4 hr. The oil thus obtained was dried over anhydrous sodium sulphate and stored at 4 °C under nitrogen until further use. The oil content was expressed as ml/100g of dried leaves.

The oil thus obtained was analyzed by Gas chromatography (GC) and Gas chromatography Mass spectrometry (GC-MS).

**Solvent Extraction**
The leaves and stems were collected, transferred into a flask and soaked separately in Pet ether, and ethanol for extraction for about 8h. After that, the leaves were mildly homogenized in a mortar and pestle and the extract was filtered. The separated solvent layers were dried over anhydrous Na₂SO₄, evaporated under vacuum. The light green and pale yellow extracts thus obtained were stored in a refrigerator at 4 °C for further analysis.

**GC Analysis**
Analysis was carried out, on a Varian-gas chromatograph equipped BP-1 capillary column (30m X 0.2mm i.d., film thickness 0.25µm). The carrier gas was helium and employed at a flow rate of 1.0 ml/min with 8 p.s.i inlet pressure. The temperature was programmed from 60°C to 220°C at a ramp rate of 5°C/min and a final hold time of 6 min. The temperature of the injector and detector was maintained at 250°C and 300°C respectively. 0.2µl of the sample was injected with 1:100 split ratio.

**GC-MS Analysis**
An Agilent 6890 GC equipped with HP-5 capillary column (30m X 0.25mm X 0.25µ) and a 5973 N mass selective detector was used for the analysis. The oven temperature was programmed from 50°C to 280°C at a ramp rate of 4°C/min with a final hold time of 5 min. The temperature of Inlet and interface was maintained at 250°C and 280°C respectively and helium at a flow rate of 1.0 ml/min (constant flow) was used as a carrier gas. 0.2µl of the sample was injected under a split of 20:1. EIMS: electron energy, 70ev. The Ion source and quadrupole temperatures were maintained respectively at 230°C and 150°C.

**Identification of Compounds**
Identification of individual components was carried out by comparing the retention indices (RI) of the peaks determined on a BP-1 column using a saturated mixture of C₈-C₂₂ n-alkanes as a reference with linear interpolation and also with those of literature [11-12]. Further identification was accomplished by GC-MS by comparing their mass spectra with mass spectral databases such as Wiley and NIST which are residents in the system [12-13].

**Preliminary phytochemical screening**
The preliminary phytochemical screening was done according to the standard qualitative chemical methods [14] wherein, all
the extracts were screened for the presence of carbohydrates, alkaloids, terpenoids, anthraquinones, tannins, sterols, and flavonoids.

**Determination of total phenolic content**
The total phenolic content was determined by Folin–Ciocalteau method [15]. To an aliquot of 100µl of the plant extract (1 µg/ml), 1M Na2CO3 and Folin-Ciocalteau reagent were added. The color developed after 15 minutes of the reaction in dark was measured at 760nm against reagent blank prepared in the same manner and gallic acid was served as the reference standard. Total phenolics were determined as milligrams of gallic acid equivalents per gram of sample by computing it with a calibration curve. All the experiments were performed in quintuplicate.

**Determination of total flavonoid content**
Total flavonoid content was measured with the colorimetric assay published in the literature [16] and performed in quintuplicate. To the appropriately diluted ethanol extract (1ml), 0.2 ml of 10% aluminum chloride, 0.2 ml of 1M potassium acetate and 5.6 ml of distilled water were added and incubated at room temperature for 30 minutes. The absorbance of the reaction mixture was measured at 420 nm and the total flavonoids were determined from the calibration curve as milligrams of quercetin equivalents per gram of sample.

**Free radical Scavenging Activity (FRSA) using DPPH**
The free radical scavenging ability of extracts of *E. monogynum* was determined using 1,1-diphenyl-2-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH) radical scavenging method as per the literature reports [17]. Ascorbic acid served as a standard compound. The reaction mixture contained 2 ml of 1.0 mmol/L DPPH solution in methanol and 1.0 ml of extract (10-500 µg/ml) and standard compound. The mixture was incubated for 20 min at 37°C. The decrease in the absorbance was measured at 517 nm against a reagent blank. The scavenging ability was calculated using the following formula. All experiments were performed in quintuplicate.

Free radical scavenging activity (%) = \( \frac{(A_t - A_s)}{A_o} \times 100 \)

Where \( A_s \) = Absorbance of standard compound

\( A_t \) = Absorbance of sample

**Free radical Scavenging Activity (FRSA) using Hydrogen Peroxide \((H_2O_2)\)**
The hydrogen peroxide scavenging ability of extracts of *E. monogynum* was investigated according to the method developed [18] with slight modifications and performed in quintuplicate. A concentration of 43 mM of hydrogen peroxide in phosphate buffer (1M, pH 7.4) was prepared and used for the study. Different concentration of sample (10-500µg/ml) was added to a hydrogen peroxide solution (0.6 ml, 43 mM) and after 10 min the absorbance was measured at 230 nm against a blank prepared with phosphate buffer without hydrogen peroxide. Ascorbic acid served as a reference compound. The free radical scavenging activity was determined by assessing the % inhibition using the following formula:

% inhibition = (Control- Test)/control ×100

**β -carotene bleaching assay**
The β -carotene bleaching assay was performed according to the method developed and published in the literature [19]. In this assay, 2ml of β -carotene (Conc. of 200µg/ml in chloroform) was taken in a round bottom flask and mixed with 20 µl linoleic acid and 200 µl Tween 20, mixed thoroughly and evaporated for 10 min at 40°C followed by the addition of 100 ml of distilled water (HPLC grade). The mixture was subjected to the vortex and an aliquot of 5 ml of the resulting emulsion was transferred into test tubes containing various concentrations (10-500µg/ml) of *E. monogynum* extracts. The mixture was placed in a water bath at 50°C for 2 h and the absorbance was measured every 15 min at 470 nm using a UV–VIS spectrophotometer (Shimadzu UV1900). All experiments were performed in quintuplicate. The total antioxidant activity was calculated based on the following formula

Anti-oxidant activity % = \( 1 - \frac{(A_0 - A_t)}{(A_0^0 - A_0)} \)

Where, \( A_0 \) = Absorbance of control; \( A_t \) = Absorbance of sample

**Nitric oxide scavenging activity**
Nitric oxide scavenging activity was determined by the use of Griess Illosvory reaction [20]. Sodium nitroprusside in phosphate buffered saline was mixed with different concentrations (1-100 µg/ml) of extracts and incubated at 30 °C for 2hrs. The reaction mixture without the extracts served as the negative control while Gallic acid was used as a positive control. After the incubation, 0.5ml of Griess reagent (1% sulfanilamide, 2%H₃PO₄ and 0.1%-N-(1-naphthyl) ethylenediamine dihydrochloride) was added and the absorbance was measured at 550nm. Subsequently, \( I_{c50} \) values (the inhibitory concentration required to reduce 50% of the nitric oxide formation) was measured for all the extracts. All experiments were carried out in quintuplicate.
Xanthine oxidase inhibition Assay

Xanthine oxidase inhibitory assay was carried out according to the method developed and published in the literature [21] and performed in quintuplicate. All the extracts were dissolved and diluted in the buffer at a final concentration of 200 µg/ml and then used the same was used to assess their inhibitory activity. The reaction mixture contained an aliquot of 80 mM sodium pyrophosphate buffer (pH = 8.5), 0.120 mM xanthine, and 0.1 unit of XO. The absorption at 295 nm, indicating the formation of uric acid at 25°C, was monitored and the initial rate was calculated. A negative control (blank which has 0% XO inhibition activity) was prepared and this contained the assay mixture without the plant extracts. Allopurinol was used as a positive control in the assay mixture. Subsequently, IC₅₀ values, the concentration at which 50% of the XO enzyme activity inhibited was determined. The XO inhibitory activity was expressed as the percentage inhibition of XO in the above-mentioned assay mixture system, calculated as follows:

\[
\% \text{Inhibition} = \left(1 - \frac{\text{Test Inclination}}{\text{Blank Inclination}}\right) \times 100
\]

Where, test inclination is the linear change in the absorbance per minute of the test material, and blank inclination is the linear change in the absorbance per minute of the blank.

Anti-glycation activity

The Anti-glycation assay was carried out according to [22] with slight modifications. Briefly, BSA (1 mg/mL) was incubated with 0.25M fructose and 0.25M glucose in 0.1M phosphate-buffered saline (PBS), pH 7.4, in darkness at 50°C for 4 days. Before incubation, the solution of essential oils, extracts and reference standard, aminoguanidine was dissolved in 50% DMSO was added to the mixture. The glycated BSA thus formed was determined using fluorescent intensity at 335 nm (excitation wave length) and 385 nm (emission wave length). All the experiments were performed in quintuplicate. Various concentrations of extracts ranging from 1- 100µg/ml providing 50% AGE inhibitions (IC₅₀) were calculated from the graph of inhibition percentage against the extract concentration.

The inhibition percentage of AGESs was determined using the following formula

\[
\% \text{AGE} = \frac{(F_{\text{control}} - F_{\text{control blank}})}{F_{\text{extract}} - F_{\text{extract blank}}} \times 100
\]

Where, 

\(F_{\text{control}} - F_{\text{control blank}}\) is the difference between the fluorescent intensity of BSA incubated with or without glucose and fructose

\(F_{\text{extract}} - F_{\text{extract blank}}\) is the difference between the fluorescent intensity of BSA and sugars incubated with or without plant extracts.

Statistical Analysis

All the experiments were carried out in quintuplicate and the data were subjected to statistical analysis using Graphpad Prism Software and Sigma Plot software.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The leaves and stems were subjected to solvent extractions using petroleum ether and ethanol and were screened for the various phytochemicals. The yield of extracts was 4.36% and 5.41% in leaves and 3.48% and 4.32% in stems respectively for petroleum ether and ethanol solvents. Both leaves and stems extracts showed the presence of terpenoids, sterols, tannins, alkaloids and flavonoids (Table-1). The chemical composition of essential oil extracts from leaves and stems of E. monogynum was determined by GC and GC-MS and presented (Table-2). It showed that hydro distillation of leaves yielded 0.24% essential oil (EO) on a fresh weight basis. The GC–MS and GC analysis using Retention indices (RI) revealed that a total of 35 compounds accounting to 88% in leaves and 37 compounds amounting to 75% in stems were identified (Table-1). The major components in leaf oil were limonene (9.24%), geijerene (11.28%), pregeijerene (4.28%), isobornyl acetate (5.32%), germacrene D (9.78%) and farnesol (4.72%) while stem oil was rich in limonene (6.18%), trans-β-ocimene (3.16%), geijerene (7.82%), pregeijerene (7.87%), Methyl Eugenol (4.27%), isobornyl acetate (3.41%), germacrene D (6.79%) and Farnesol (3.97%). A major portion of oxygenated fraction of the oil was comprised by alcohols i.e. 24.64% and 22.51% respectively in leaves and stems. Methyl eugenol, dihydrocarveol, borneol, isothujanol, isopulegol, nerolidol, spathulenol, β-bisabolol and farnesol were observed to be present in predominant percentages in the essential oil extracts of E. monogynum. The chemical class distribution and major compounds of the essential oil are presented in Table-3. The sesquiterpene hydrocarbons were found to be the major constituents accounting for 37.31% and 27.94% respectively in leaf and stem oils. Interestingly, the group of sesquiterpenes was found to be dominant in both the essential oils. Nevertheless, the oxygenated derivatives of monoterpenes and sesquiterpenes have also been noticed as minor constituents.

The total phenolics and flavonoids were estimated and presented in Table-4. The total phenolic content was found to be 36.23 and 34.47 mg of gallic acid/gm respectively for leaf and stem oils, while the total flavonoids were found to be
respectively 16.71 and 14.17 mg of quercetin/gm for leaf and stem essential oil extracts.

**Table-1: Phytochemical screening of leaves and stems of *E. monogynum***

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parts used</th>
<th>Secondary metabolites</th>
<th>EtOH extracts</th>
<th>Petroleum ether extracts</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Leaves</strong></td>
<td>Tannins +</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Flavonoids +</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Alkaloids ++</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Terpenoids ++</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Anthaquinones –</td>
<td>–</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Carbohydrates +</td>
<td>–</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sterols + ++</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Stems</strong></td>
<td>Tannins +</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Flavonoids +</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Alkaloids ++</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Terpenoids ++</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Anthaquinones –</td>
<td>–</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Carbohydrates –</td>
<td>–</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sterols – +</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table-2: Chemical composition of essential oil from leaves and stems of *E. monogynum***

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S. No.</th>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>RI</th>
<th>% Composition</th>
<th>Method of Identification</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>α-pinene</td>
<td>937</td>
<td>2.98</td>
<td>Leave: 0.86 Stem: 0.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>Sabinene</td>
<td>977</td>
<td>2.06</td>
<td>Leave: 1.14 Stem: 1.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>β-pinene</td>
<td>981</td>
<td>1.85</td>
<td>Leave: 1.02 Stem: 1.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>Myrcene</td>
<td>984</td>
<td>1.12</td>
<td>Leave: 0.71 Stem: 0.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>α-phellandrene</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>1.16</td>
<td>Leave: 1.28 Stem: 1.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.</td>
<td>α-terpinene</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>1.08</td>
<td>Leave: 1.77 Stem: 1.77</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

8. Cis-β-ocimene 103 5 1.17 0.96 a, b, c
9. Trans-β-ocimene 104 0 1.97 3.16 a, b, c
10. Linalool 108 5 0.98 0.57 a, b, c
11. Isofenchol 110 1 2.16 1.24 a, b, c
12. Sabinene hydrate 111 6 0.89 0.72 a, b, c
13. Geijerene 114 3 11.28 7.82 a, b, c
14. Isopulegol 114 6 1.48 1.02 a, b, c
15. Isothujanol 115 7 2.36 1.47 a, b, c
16. Terpinene-4-ol 116 6 0 0.68 a, b, c
17. Borneol 118 0 2.11 1.04 a, b, c
18. Decanal 119 2 Tr 2.34 a, b, c
19. Dihydrocarveol 119 5 2.89 1.73 a, b, c
20. Geraniol 124 0 0 0.78 a, b, c
21. Isobornyl acetate 127 1 5.32 3.41 a, b, c
22. Pregeijerene 128 5 4.28 7.87 a, b, c
23. Delta elemene 133 7 0.67 0.58 a, b, c
24. Methyl cinnamate 134 2 0.28 1.29 a, b, c
25. Geranyl acetate 137 0 0.96 1.36 a, b, c
26. β-Bourbonene 138 6 1.44 0.16 a, b, c
27. β-Elemene 138 9 0.69 1.23 a, b, c
28. Methyl eugenol 140 3 1.07 4.27 a, b, c
29. β-caryophyllene 142 1 2.16 1.96 a, b, c
30. α-humulene 144 6 1.27 1.32 a, b, c
31. Germacrene D 148 0 9.78 6.79 a, b, c
32. D-cadinene 153 6 0.42 2.21 a, b, c
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33. Nerolidol
34. Spathulenol
35. Caryophyllene oxide
36. τ-cadinol
37. β-bisabolol
38. Farnesol
39. Farnesyl acetate

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of the Plant</th>
<th>Component class</th>
<th>Area percent</th>
<th>No. of compounds</th>
<th>Major compound</th>
<th>Area percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Leaves</td>
<td>Monoterpenes</td>
<td>22.63</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>Limonene</td>
<td>9.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Oxygenated monoterpenes</td>
<td>15.18</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>Dihydrocarveol</td>
<td>2.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sesquiterpenes</td>
<td>37.31</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Geijerene</td>
<td>11.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Oxygenated sesquiterpenes</td>
<td>13.01</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>Farnesol</td>
<td>4.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stems</td>
<td>Monoterpenes</td>
<td>17.08</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>Limonene</td>
<td>6.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Oxygenated monoterpenes</td>
<td>18.51</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>Methyl Eugenol</td>
<td>4.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sesquiterpenes</td>
<td>27.94</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Pregeijerene</td>
<td>7.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Oxygenated</td>
<td>11.35</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>Farnesol</td>
<td>3.97</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a – Retention time; b – Retention indices; c – Mass spectra

Table-3: Chemical class distribution and major components in the essential oil from leaves and stems of *E. monogynum*

The antioxidant efficacy of essential oil and extracts were evaluated by standard methods and the results are depicted in Table 5-7. Among all the extracts tested for DPPH scavenging activity, leaf essential oil at 100 µg/ml showed maximum activity of 90.33% followed by stem essential oil (79.84%), ethanol extract (61.64%) and pet ether extract (54.72%), while, ascorbic acid, the standard compound showed 100% activity at 80 µg/ml. Further the essential oil from leaves showed maximum H₂O₂ scavenging activity of 90% at 100 µg/ml, while, the reference standard ascorbic acid exhibited 100% activity at 80µg/ml for the assay. These results indicate that essential oil from leaves has antioxidant potential comparable with that of ascorbic acid. Interestingly, the β-carotene bleaching assay results depicted in Table-7 demonstrated a higher antioxidant activity of leaf essential oil with IC₅₀ of 54.72 µg/ml followed by stem oil, ethanol and pet ether extracts. Nitric Oxide (NO) scavenging assay based on the scavenging ability of the essential oil and extracts was evaluated in a dose-dependent manner using Gallic acid as a positive control sample. As evident from the table-8, among all the samples tested, leaf oil exhibited potent NO scavenging activity with IC₅₀ of 58.64 µg/ml. While, the IC₅₀ of stem oil, ethanol and pet ether extracts was found to be 66.23, 75.28 and 84.11 µg/ml respectively.

It is well known that phenols and terpenes are very important constituents in plants and which contribute to the antioxidant potential of various botanicals [23]. Phytochemicals, exclusively plant essential oils are known to possess primary antioxidant activity as they can react with active oxygen radicals, such as hydroxyl radicals, superoxide anion radicals and lipid peroxy radicals and inhibit lipid oxidation at an early
stage. It has also been reported that the increase in the phenolic content can be used as a biomarker for screening of air pollutants [24]. It has been well established that flavonoids are antioxidants compounds and recognized as valuable nutraceuticals for neutralizing free radical stress. The antioxidant properties of plant extracts can often be attributed to the presence of a substantial amount of terpenes and phenols. In the present study, however, the maximum activity exhibited by *E. monogynum* extracts can be correlated to the presence of more amounts of phenols and terpenes and might be employed in the treatment of stress and other related disorders.

In the present study, essential oils and extracts were evaluated as potential XO inhibitors and compared favourably with the positive control sample, Allopurinol and the results are summarized in Table 9. Among all the extracts tested, the leaf and stem essential oils showed maximum Xanthine oxidase inhibition activity with an IC$_{50}$ of 42.63 and 53.74 µg/ml respectively. While the standard compound, Allopurinol showed an IC$_{50}$ of 10.28 µg/ml.

The essential oils, phenols and flavonoids have been reported to possess Xanthine oxidase inhibitory effects [25-26]. Moreover, the results obtained are in agreement with the previously published reports showing remarkable xanthine oxidase inhibitory activities of essential oils and extracts of *E. monogynum*. At present, Allopurinol is the drug of choice for gout disease however, due to its prolonged usage, it is presenting various side effects. Therefore, as a part of screening program of medicinal plants of South India and based on ethnobotanical information, *E. monogynum* plant has been selected for evaluation of xanthine oxidase inhibitor activity, where, both leaves and stems oils exhibited marked inhibitory activity comparable to allopurinol.

The ability of *E. monogynum* extracts to inhibit AGE formation was evaluated using the anti-glycation assay, in which bovine serum albumin and glucose and fructose served as the model protein and glycating agents respectively. The formation of AGES was assessed by monitoring the production of fluorescent products at 335 nm and 385 nm, respectively and the results were depicted in table-10. It was evident from the table that all the tested extracts inhibited AGE formation in a dose-dependent manner. Leaf and stem essential oils presented the higher inhibitory effect of more than 90% inhibition of AGE formation at a concentration of 100 µg/ml and with an IC$_{50}$ values of 32.77 and 44.46 µg/ml respectively. The percentage inhibition of NO scavenging, Xanthine oxidase inhibition and Anti-glycation activities with concerning concentration were also evaluated and presented in

**Table-5: DPPH scavenging activity of extracts of *E. monogynum***

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Concentration (µg/ml)</th>
<th>DPPH radical scavenging activity (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pet ether extract</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>5.64 ± 0.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>11.97 ± 0.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>23.08 ± 1.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>32.17 ± 1.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>41.39 ± 1.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>54.72 ± 2.25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Fig. 1-3.** The degree of activities was evaluated for all the extracts at a concentration ranging from 1-100 µg/ml. The significant observation was that with an increase in the concentration of all the extracts, there was a subtle but noticeable increase in percentage inhibition.

The effect of essential oils and extracts on BSA glycation was determined by the inhibition of fructosamine formation and it was found that different extracts exhibited a varied degree of potential to inhibit initial stages of glycation reaction. The hyperglycemic state that is commonly seen in Diabetes mellitus patients is reported to be associated with cardiovascular complications [27] and these evidences implicate the formation and subsequent effects of AGES as a contributing cause for such a complication. Further, it has been reported recently that there is increased oxidative damage in the vicinity of glycated histone residues [27-28]. Advanced glycation end products (AGES) have been involved in the pathogenesis of diabetes and aging-related complications and therefore, inhibition of glycation should have a broad and beneficial effect in the treatment. However, several traditionally used herbal medicines and essential oils have been shown to possess *in vitro* anti-glycation effects due to the presence of terpenes and phenols [29]. The oils under investigation demonstrated excellent ant-glycation activity by inhibiting more than 90% of AGES formation which can be attributed to the presence of higher concentration sesquiterpenes. However, the synergistic effect of all the components in the oil cannot be neglected.
Test extracts: significant from normal control, P < 0.05
Mean ± S.E.M = Mean values ± Standard error of means of five experiments

Table-6: H2O2 free radical scavenging activity of essential oil extracts of E. monogynum

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Concentration (µg/ml)</th>
<th>Hydrogen peroxide free radical scavenging activity (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pet ether extract</td>
<td>12.3 ± 0.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethanol extract</td>
<td>24.6 ± 0.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SO</td>
<td>29.36 ± 0.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LO</td>
<td>41.68 ± 1.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ascorbic acid</td>
<td>56.52 ± 1.12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table-7: β-carotene bleaching assay of extracts of E. monogynum

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sl. No.</th>
<th>Sample/Extract</th>
<th>IC50 (µg/ml)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>Pet ether extract</td>
<td>96.63 ± 2.27 (85.217 – 105.205)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>Ethanol extract</td>
<td>81.73 ± 1.66 (69.628 – 93.434)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>SO</td>
<td>62.56 ± 1.48 (53.189 – 71.344)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>LO</td>
<td>54.72 ± 1.34 (47.118 – 62.271)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Test extracts: significant from normal control, P < 0.05
Mean ± S.E.M = Mean values ± Standard error of means of five experiments

Table-8: NO scavenging activity of essential oil and extracts from E. monogynum

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S. No.</th>
<th>Sample/Extract</th>
<th>IC50 (µg/ml)</th>
<th>Relative Potency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>Petroleum ether extract</td>
<td>84.11 ± 1.28 (49.198 – 70.232)</td>
<td>0.316</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>Ethanol extract</td>
<td>75.28 ± 1.57 (39.183 – 53.412)</td>
<td>0.353</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>SO</td>
<td>66.23 ± 1.37 (26.721 – 42.328)</td>
<td>0.401</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>LO</td>
<td>58.64 ± 1.51 (28.221 – 46.237)</td>
<td>0.453</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>Gallic acid</td>
<td>26.61 ± 1.15 (20.172 – 30.244)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Test extracts: significant from normal control, P < 0.05
All values are expressed as Mean ± SE; Relative potency = IC50 standard/IC50 sample;
The numbers in parenthesis represents 95% confidence limits

Figure-1: Percentage inhibition of NO scavenging activity with increase in concentration of extracts and essential oil

Test extracts: significant from normal control, P < 0.05
All values are expressed as Mean ± SE; The numbers in parenthesis represents 95% confidence limits
Table-9: Xanthine oxidase inhibitory potential of essential oil and extracts from *E. monogynum*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>IC₅₀ (µg/ml)</th>
<th>IC₅₀ (µg/ml)</th>
<th>Relative Potency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Petroleum ether extract</td>
<td>77.52 (46.499 – 69.045)</td>
<td>129.03 (80.533 – 99.068)</td>
<td>0.132</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethanol extract</td>
<td>61.46 (32.281 – 51.402)</td>
<td>101.64 (58.457 – 81.314)</td>
<td>0.167</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SO</td>
<td>53.74 (29.228 – 45.417)</td>
<td>92.53 (51.108 – 72.245)</td>
<td>0.191</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LO</td>
<td>42.63 (31.627 – 52.608)</td>
<td>78.06 (57.118 – 77.219)</td>
<td>0.241</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allopurinol</td>
<td>10.28 (5.621 – 15.893)</td>
<td>18.44 (12.245 – 30.557)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Test extracts: significant from normal control, *P* < 0.05
All values are expressed as Mean ± SE; Relative potency = IC₅₀ standard/IC₅₀ sample;
The numbers in parenthesis represents 95% confidence limits

**Figure-2: Percentage inhibition of xanthine oxidase at varying concentrations of allopurinol, extracts and essential oils**

**Figure-3: Anti-glycation activity at varying concentrations of Aminoguanidine, extracts and essential oils**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>IC₅₀ (µg/ml)</th>
<th>IC₅₀ (µg/ml)</th>
<th>Relative Potency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ethanol extract</td>
<td>57.72</td>
<td>(49.812 – 65.245)</td>
<td>96.26 (88.171 – 103.197)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SO</td>
<td>44.46</td>
<td>(39.206 – 49.713)</td>
<td>79.87 (72.064 – 85.419)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LO</td>
<td>32.77</td>
<td>(27.761 – 37.083)</td>
<td>68.69 (62.128 – 74.901)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aminoguanidine</td>
<td>21.23</td>
<td>(18.231 – 25.334)</td>
<td>58.36 (54.514 – 64.712)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Test extracts: significant from normal control, *P* < 0.05
All values are expressed as Mean ± SE;
Relative potency = IC₅₀ standard/IC₅₀ sample;
The numbers in parenthesis represents 95% confidence limits

**CONCLUSION**

The results obtained are remarkable showing maximum antioxidant and anti-glycation activities of essential oil from leaves and stems of *E. monogynum*. Moreover, all the extracts showed excellent xanthine oxidase inhibitory activity and further studies might help to use this plant as a marker for standardization of herbal products or prototypes to develop more efficacious drugs with fewer side effects and might be useful in preventing or slowing the progress of gout and related disorders. Further work is underway to identify the active phytoconstituents in the oils and extracts wherein, the information procured not only suggests that the plant under investigation can be explored as a viable, better alternative source of natural antioxidant and anti-glycating agent but also help to develop promising lead products which could be useful for various pharmaceutical industries.
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